

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 09/925,881 | 08/06/2001 | Brian K. Balzum | 1001.1403101 6196 EXAMINER | |
| 28075 | 7590 02/20/2004 | | | |
| CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC | | | NGUYEN, VI X | |
| 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420 | | | 3731 | · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . |

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/925, 881 | BALZUM ET AL. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Victor X Nguyen | 3731 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee | | | | | | | |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set on the final results after the mailing date of the final results. | ne ree. The appropriate ex in the final Office action; or ejection, even if timely filed | (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number o | of finally rejected clai | ms. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See | r reconsideration has been co | nsidered but does N | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLEL | .Y to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a)□ will not be entered or ould be rejected is provided b | b) will be entered elow or appended. | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | , | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | · | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 25,28 and 30-34. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved b | y the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | 1 | | | | | |
| | SUPI TI | MICHAEL J. MILAN ERVISORY PATENT EX ECHNOLOGY CENTER | aminer | | | | |

Continuation of 10. Other: In the response to the Final Office Action (Paper 8), the applicant argues that the Santoianni device does not teach a guidewire system including a first wire and a second wire. The Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. The Santoianni device shows in figs 6 and 6a that comprises a guidewire system having a first wire (item 71 can be characterized as a wire,i.e, by definition, a wire is a rod made in many lengths and diameters or something resembling a wire, as in slenderness or stiffness (The American Heritage Dictionary, Third edition) capable of using as a guidewire to guide and navigate the Santoianni system through or around tissue. A Second wire(20, 20c) has a second end as broadly recited in the claims. Furthermore, a portion of the thread of the first wire is adapted to deform when the male thread threadingly engages the female thread of the first wire (item 77 can be characterized as alters the shape of by pressure or stress when item 77 threadingly engages the thread of the first wire. Finally, at least claim 25 of the invention is not defined over the Santoianni (U.S. Pat 6,270,476).

UN 417/04